



EUG GTM FOOTBALL

ZAGREB, CROATIA
July 12-19, 2016

WELCOME
to the
3rd EUROPEAN UNIVERSITIES
GAMES

EUSA

EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY SPORTS ASSOCIATION



www.eusa.eu



COMPETITION

Antonis Petrou
EUSA Technical Delegate

Competition committees

REFEREE COMMITTEE:

- **MR ANTONIS PETROU**, EUSA Technical Delegate
- **MR ANTREAS DEMETRIOU**, EUSA Assistant Technical Delegate
- **MR ROBERT UROIC**, OC Representative



Competition referees

REFEREES LIAISON OFFICER:

- MR IVICA MODRIC (CRO)

REFEREES:

- MR EMIL RISTOSKOV (AUT)
- MR GIANFORT NICO (SUI)
- MR JANNIS HEADWING (GER)
- MR MAXIMILIAN ALKOFRER (GER)
- MR JANI LAAKSONEN (FIN)
- MR STEPHANE LACOMBE (FRA)
- MR RODRIGEZ MORALES SERGIO (ESP)
- MR MARC HENNY (NED)
- MR JORGE FERREIRA (POR)
- MR FESNIC HORATIU MIRCEA (ROU)
- MR YURY POMILUYKO (RUS)
- MRS ANDREA HETZENAUER (AUT)
- MR YAZID EL MRABET (FRA)
- MR VIACHESLAV IARMIEV (RUS)



Competition referees

REFEREES:

- MR ANTE CULJAK (CRO)
- MR GORAN PECARIC (CRO)
- MR MARIO CINDRIC (CRO)
- MR MATEJ HORVAT (CRO)
- MR IVAN VUCSOVIC (CRO)
- MR DALIBOR MLAKAR (CRO)

ASSISTANT REFEREES:

- MR HRVOJE VRBANEC (CRO)
- MR DRAZEN MANDIR (CRO)
- MR DEJAN VUKOVIC (CRO)
- MR DARIO KOLAREVIC (CRO)
- MR KRISTIAN BREZIC (CRO)
- MR MILE VARIDOVA (CRO)
- MR ANTO MARIC (CRO)
- MR GORAN BARSIC (CRO)



Competition rules & venues

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

- EUSA Rules and Regulations for the EUGAMES – Edition 2016
- FIFA Laws of the Game 2016-2017

MEN COMPETITION AND TRAINING VENUES

COMPETITION:

1. NK HASK
2. NK RUDES
3. NK LUCKO
4. NK SESVETE

TRAINING:

1. NK JARUN
2. NK SPANSKO
3. NK TRESNJEVKA



Competition rules & venues

COMPETITION AND TRAINING VENUES

COMPETITION:

1. ZAGREBELLO
2. NK TRNJE
3. NK KUSTOSIJA
4. NK VRAPCE

TRAINING :

1. NK MLADOST B
2. NK PONIKVE

OFFICIAL MATCH BALL

- NIKE No 5



Exceptions from ISF Regulations

Duration of matches:

Men Competition

- Up to the final 35 min per half
- Final 45 min per half
- If a tie in the knock-out rounds for places 3-14 direct 5 penalty kicks
- If a tie in the final and extra time of two 15 min halves
- If still a tie after the extra time, 5 penalty kicks



Exceptions from ISF Regulations

Duration of matches:

Women Competition

- The duration of all matches is 25 minutes per half



Exceptions from ISF Regulations

Substitutions:

Men and Women Competition

- 5 substitutions each team

Exceptions from ISF Regulations

Women Competition:

- Dimension of the pitch
 - 60-70 m length
 - 40-45 m width
- Dimension of crossbars
 - 5 m width
 - 2 m high
- Penalty area 11 m from each post



Exceptions from ISF Regulations

Women Competition:

- Penalty spot 9 m
- Defending wall 7 m
- Number of players 7 vs 7
- No offside



Exceptions from ISF Regulations

Women Competition:

- **Goal kick**

It can be done by kick from the goal kick area or by throwing the ball from the penalty area

- **Referees**

1 referee and 1 assistant referee



Competition format

Men Competition

- Group matches (16 teams divided into 4 groups with 4 teams in each group)
- One against all (day1-3) Matches N°1-24
- A1-A4, A2-A3



Competition format

Men Competition

- The winners in the knock out round will play to the semi-final for places 1-4
- The losers of the knock out rounds will play for places 5-8 using the same formula
- The teams which take the 3rd and 4th places in each group will play for places 9-16 with the same formula



Competiton format

Women Competition

- Group matches (12 teams divided into 4 groups with 3 teams in each group)
- The first 2 teams in each group play for the places 1-8 (knock out system)
- The teams in the 3rd place in each group play for places 9-12 (round robin system)



Competition format

POINTING SYSTEM:

- 3 points for a win
- 1 point each team for tie
- 0 points for a defeat

Competition format

- During the group matches, the teams ranking in each group will be determined by the higher number of points obtained in all matches played among the teams in question
- The ranking of each team in each group will be determined as follows:
 - Greater number of points obtained in all group matches
 - Greater number of points obtained in the group matches between the teams concerned; greater goal difference resulting from the group matches between the teams concerned



Competition format

- Greater number of goals scored in all group matches between the teams concerned
- Greater goal difference in all group matches
- Greater number of goals scored in all group matches
- Drawing of lots by the Competition Commission
- Should more than two teams have the same number of points, the criteria listed above apply

Competition – protest

Procedure

Head of Delegation may protest in written on behalf of their athletes or team. Protest must be accompanied by a deposit of 200 EUR (refundable if justified) and submitted to the EUSA representative, as follows:

- within 20 min after match on match issues
- within 1 hour after published official daily sport results and statistics for the published issues
- whenever during the EUC on non technical issues

Protest on referee or match official decision is not permitted



Participation in the Competition

Any Participating team or competitor (in individual event), after being confirmed by the draw, **shall participate in all scheduled matches**.

If the team or competitor **misses any of the scheduled matches** (without justified reason announced in advance), **they will be disqualified** from the event and a fine of maximum 500 EUR will be imposed by the EUSA. That University (represented by the participating team or competitor) will not have the right to participate in EUSA events until the fine has been received by the EUSA.

In addition, the SCAC will submit a report to the EUSA EC who may, at their discretion, ban that University from all EUSA events for a period of minimum 1 (one) year. In such circumstances, a report will be submitted to the rector of that University and to the NUSA setting out the reasons for the ban.



Competition Awards

TEAMS:

- Gold, Silver and Bronze cups (to team)
- Gold, Silver and Bronze medals (to members of the team)

INDIVIDUAL AWARD:

- Diploma of participation
- FAIR PLAY Award
- Best Scorer
- Most Valuable Player (decided by coaches)



EUSA Annual Awards

EUSA EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ANNUALLY PRESENTS:


- **The Most Active NUSA** *(highest points obtained by organizing and participating in the EUG)*
- **The Most Successful NUSA** *(highest points obtained at the final ranking in the EUG)*
- **The Best University** *(highest points obtained at the final ranking in the EUG)*
- **The Most Active University** *(highest points obtained by participating in the EUG)*
- **Photo Competition Award** *(the best photo taken in the EUC, on the proposal of the Student Commission)*
- **Enno Harms Fair Play Award** *(best fair play gesture/situation in EUG)*



ENNO HARMS FAIR PLAY AWARD

Each EUG participant can propose individual or university team for the fair play gesture or behaviour happened during this EUG.

Please do not hesitate to fill the Enno Harms Fair Play Award proposal → → → and give it to the EUSA representative.



The form is titled "ENNO HARMS FAIR PLAY AWARD PROPOSAL" and is part of the "EUROPEAN UNIVERSITIES CHAMPIONSHIPS 2015". It includes fields for the proposer's name, the recipient's name, and a description of the award. The form is partially filled out with handwritten text.

EUROPEAN UNIVERSITIES CHAMPIONSHIPS 2015

ENNO HARMS FAIR PLAY AWARD PROPOSAL

European Universities Championship in: _____

Proposal submitted by: _____ (name, surname)

athlete team official referee SAC member OC member

Received by team (if applicable): _____
(if applicable, name of Faculty/Student)

The proposal for the Fair Play Award is given:

to individual: _____
(name / surname / student / staff / faculty / country)

or University Team: _____
(if applicable, name of Faculty/Student)

for his/her / fair: gesture or behaviour

Short description:

Date: _____ Signature: _____

The EUSA European Universities Championships are organized by EUSA and are held jointly with its partners. It allows experience exchange of people with a wide range of sports activities and cultural education. The success of the event is largely dependent on the assistance of the local universities.



*EUSA was awarded by European Fair Play Movement with **ORDER OF MERIT** for its constant contribution in field of Fair Play.*





THE DRAW

Patrik Perosa
EUSA Sports Manager


Determination of EUSA Annual Ranking List

- The points are attributed to the teams based on the final standing of the competition. For each NUSA, only the highest placed team gets points. Accumulated points are calculated for NUSA.
- Points accumulated within the last four (4) years determine the EUSA Ranking List, published annually by the EUSA Office.
- Points scheme is published in the EUSA Rules and Regulations for the EUG - Edition 2016, paragraph "EUSA Ranking List" (page 16).



Determination of the seeding for the draw

- The recent National Champion for each NUSA is considered within the EUSA Ranking List. If there is more than one (1) team from the same NUSA, all remaining teams are all together in the last pool for the draw (no difference between them).
- The NUSA National Champion is seeded in accordance with the EUSA Ranking List.



Determination of pools

If two or more countries have the same number of points in the EUSA Ranking list and cannot be placed in the same pool due to limited places, ranking from previous EUSA event(s) is/are considered.

If two or more teams come from the same country, the team ranked higher is the one with highest ranking at the NUSA championship.

Only one team per country may be seeded using EUSA ranking list.



EUG 2016 FOOTBALL MEN - RANKING

Pots	University teams	EUSA ranking
• X	CRO University of Zagreb	not considered in the draw -> A1 (home team)
• A	RUS Kuban State University	1
• A	GER University of Wurzburg	2
• A	ESP University of Almeria	3
• B	FRA University of Montpellier	4
• B	TUR Anadolu University	5
• B	POR University of Minho	6
• B	FIN University of Jyvaskyla	7
• C	ROU University Babes Bolyai	8
• C	SUI University of Lausanne	9
• C	AUT University of Klagenfurt	10
• C	NED Erasmus University Rotterdam	11
• D	NOR Sogn og Fjordane University College	12
• D	CRO University J.J.Strossmayera of Osijek	(second team)
• D	ESP Camilo Jose Cela University	(second team)
• D	GER University of Bochum	(second team)

EUG 2016 FOOTBALL 7s WOMEN - RANKING

Pots	University teams	EUSA ranking
• X team)	CRO University of Zagreb	not considered in the draw -> A1 (home
• A	FRA University of Montpellier	1
• A	ESP University of Valencia	2
• A	GER University of Marburg	3
• B	RUS Ural Federal University	4
• B	POR University of Porto	5
• B	NED Erasmus University Rotterdam	6
• B	NOR Norwegian School of Economics	7
• C	AUT University of Innsbruck	not in the ranking
• C	FRA University of Caen	second team
• C	GER University of Frankfurt	second team
• C	SUI University of Lausanne	not in the ranking