



EUG GTM TENNIS

ZAGREB, CROATIA
July 12-18, 2016

WELCOME
to the
3rd EUROPEAN UNIVERSITIES
GAMES

EUSA

EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY SPORTS ASSOCIATION



www.eusa.eu



COMPETITION

Daniel Studer
EUSA Technical Delegate

Competition rules & venues

RULES AND REGULATIONS

- EUSA Rules and Regulations for the EUG – Edition 2016
- Latest regulations of ITF and Tennis Europe for international competitions

OFFICIAL MATCH BALL

- Babolat French Open Roland Garros



Competition format / mode of play

- Qualification tournament (preliminaries): July 13th – July 15th
- Main draw tournament: July 16th – August July 18th: Quarter finals, semi finals, finals, consolation

Each tie will include two (2) singles and one (1) doubles match.

All matches will be played as follows:

- Single matches: Best of three (3), tiebreak in each set.
- Doubles matches: Best of three (3), no ad, third set is a 10 point match tie break.

Competition format / mode of play

- 5 minutes warm up before a match
- Ball change: 4 new balls in each match. Semi finals / finals 9/11
- 1 player / captain is allowed to sit on a chair at the court

CONSOLATION

- Matches may be played either as regular system of play, or best of three (3) short sets.
- Where the parties cannot agree: mode of play will be as in preliminaries
- Short sets: The first player/team who wins four games wins the set, provided there is a margin of two games over the opponent(s). If the score reaches four games all, a tiebreak game will be played. Third set is a match tie break.

Competition format / mode of play

MATCH STOPPED

- If any match is stopped and not completed for reasons of injury, etc., the full score will be recorded.
- Example: Player A is ahead of player B by 3-1 when player B is unable to continue: Player As' victory will be recorded as 6-1, 6-0

WALK OVER

- The score will be recorded as 6-0, 6-0

Competition format

COMPETITION FORMAT

Qualification tournament: Four (4) groups with four/three (4/3) teams.

- Men: Groups A, B, C, D. Seeding / draw see later
- Women: Groups E, F, G, H. Seeding / draw see later

Main Draw: Single elimination system for the first 2 teams of each group of the qualification tournament

- Quarter finals men: A1-B2, C1-D2, B1-A2, D1-C2
- Quarter finals women: E1-F2, G1-H2, F1-E2, H1-G2
- Consolation men: A3-B4, C3-D4, B3-A4, D3-C4
- Consolation women: G3-E4, H3-F4 followed on the next day by winner G3/E4 - F3, winner H3/F4 - E3
- All places will be determined by playing

Competition format

METHOD OF DETERMINING PLACES IN GROUPS/PRELIMINARY

- The university team which wins the majority of the matches in the tie will be declared the winner, and will be awarded one point.
- Points will be added up to obtain the ranking within the group.
- If at the end two teams score an equal number of points, the head-to-head result between these teams will decide the winner.
- (three or more teams with an equal number of points, see next page)

Competition format

METHOD OF DETERMINING PLACES IN GROUPS/PRELIMINARY

- If three or more teams score an equal number of points the following procedure will be used:
 - The number of matches won round robin will decide the winner.
 - If matches won are equal, the percentage of sets won in sets played against all teams in the pool will decide the winner.
 - If percentage of sets won are equal, the percentage of games won in games played against all teams in the pool will decide the winner.

Competition

MATCH COUNTDOWN

- Teams must be at the match venue in the tournament office at least 15 minutes before their match starting time.
- Each team must present the order of play of the singles at the tournament office. If playing in singles, the person with a (better) ATP/WTA single or double ranking will play singles number 1.
- The order of play: first single matches of number 2 vs. number 2, followed by number 1 vs. number 1, followed by the doubles match.



Competition referees

During all the tournament: Referee/supervisor

Mr. Vladimir Jovanoski (ITF white badge referee)

Assistant Referee, Mladen Tomscic (Croatian National Referee)

Only one on-site authorities on questions of tennis law, rules and facts!!!!

Rowing Umpires

Chair umpires (Semi finals and finals)

Trainings

TRAINING SESSIONS

- Practice courts and balls can be booked at the players desk.
- At the players desk there will be a list, where the players can sign in for a practice court.
- Some deposit would be taken for practice balls.



Competition – results, next day

The result can be found:

On two board (1 in front of the tournament office, 1 at players desk

On the official website

The schedule for the next day will be updated asap. It can be found:

Two boards at the tennis venue

E-Mail



Competition – protest

PROTEST:

Head of Delegation may protest in written on behalf of their athletes or team. Protest must be accompanied by a deposit of 50 EUR (refundable if justified) and submitted to the EUSA representative, as follows:

- within 20 min after match on match issues;
- within 1 hour after published official daily sport results and statistics for the published issues,
- whenever during the EUC on non technical issues.

Protest on referee or match official decision is not permitted.



Participation in the Competition

Any Participating team or competitor (in individual event), after being confirmed by the draw, **shall participate in all scheduled matches.**

If the team or competitor **misses any of the scheduled matches** (without justified reason announced in advance), **they will be disqualified** from the event and a fine of maximum 500 EUR will be imposed by the EUSA. That University (represented by the participating team or competitor) will not have the right to participate in EUSA events until the fine has been received by the EUSA.

In addition, the SCAC will submit a report to the EUSA EC who may, at their discretion, ban that University from all EUSA events for a period of minimum 1 (one) year. In such circumstances, a report will be submitted to the rector of that University and to the NUSA setting out the reasons for the ban.



Competition Awards

TEAMS:

- Gold, Silver and Bronze cups (to team)
- Gold, Silver and Bronze medals (to members of the team)

INDIVIDUAL AWARD:

- Diploma of participation



EUSA Annual Awards

EUSA EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ANNUALLY PRESENTS:


- **The Most Active NUSA** *(highest points obtained by organizing and participating in the EUG)*
- **The Most Successful NUSA** *(highest points obtained at the final ranking in the EUG)*
- **The Best University** *(highest points obtained at the final ranking in the EUG)*
- **The Most Active University** *(highest points obtained by participating in the EUG)*
- **Photo Competition Award** *(the best photo taken in the EUC, on the proposal of the Student Commission)*
- **Enno Harms Fair Play Award** *(best fair play gesture/situation in EUG)*



ENNO HARMS FAIR PLAY AWARD

Each EUG participant can propose individual or university team for the fair play gesture or behaviour happened during this EUG.

Please do not hesitate to fill the Enno Harms Fair Play Award proposal → → → and give it to the EUSA representative.



The form is titled "ENNO HARMS FAIR PLAY AWARD PROPOSAL" and is part of the "EUROPEAN UNIVERSITIES CHAMPIONSHIPS 2015". It includes fields for the proposer's name, the recipient's name, and a description of the award. The form is partially filled out with handwritten text.

EUROPEAN UNIVERSITIES CHAMPIONSHIPS 2015

ENNO HARMS FAIR PLAY AWARD PROPOSAL

European Universities Chair please fill in: _____

Proposal submitted by: _____ (name, surname)

athlete team official referee SAC member OC member

Received by team (if applicable): _____
(if missing no name / Country / Gender)

The proposal for the Fair Play Award is given:

to Individual: _____
(Name / Surname / Gender / University / Country)

or University Team: _____
(University name / Country / Gender)

for his / her / their: gesture or behaviour

Short description:

Date: _____ Signature: _____

The EUSA European Universities Championships are organized by EUSA and are held jointly with its partners. It allows experience exchange of people with a wide range of sports activities and cultural education. The success of the event is largely dependent on the assistance of the local authorities.



*EUSA was awarded by European Fair Play Movement with **ORDER OF MERIT** for its constant contribution in field of Fair Play.*





THE DRAW

PATRIK PEROSA
EUSA Sports Manager

Determination of EUSA Annual Ranking List

- The points are attributed to the teams based on the final standing of the competition. For each NUSA, only the highest placed team gets points. Accumulated points are calculated for NUSA.
- Points accumulated within the last four (4) years determine the EUSA Ranking List, published annually by the EUSA Office.
- Points scheme is published in the EUSA Rules and Regulations for the EUG - Edition 2016, paragraph "EUSA Ranking List" (page 16).

Ranking list

| RANKING MEN | | points |
|-------------|-----|--------|
| 1. | FRA | 31 |
| 2. | POL | 27 |
| 3. | RUS | 25 |
| 4. | TUR | 20 |
| 4. | GBR | 20 |
| 6. | CRO | 10 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| RANKING WOMEN | | points |
|---------------|-----|--------|
| 1. | FRA | 35 |
| 2. | RUS | 32 |
| 3. | GER | 12 |
| 3. | NED | 12 |
| 5. | POL | 11 |
| 6. | UKR | 9 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



Determination of the seeding for the draw

- The recent National Champion for each NUSA is considered within the EUSA Ranking List. If there is more than one (1) team from the same NUSA, all remaining teams are all together in the last pool for the draw (no difference between them).
- The NUSA National Champion is seeded in accordance with the EUSA Ranking List.

The draw

- The university team of the hosting city or in their absence the hosting country's highest ranked team is already drawn into the group / place A1.
- The remaining teams will be drawn into groups. The draw first will be done for all first positions in groups, then second positions, third etc.
- Teams from the same country shall, whenever possible, be placed in different groups.



EUG 2016 TENNIS MEN - RANKING

| Pools | University teams | EUSA ranking |
|-------|--|--|
| • X | CRO University of Zagreb | not considered in the draw -> A1 (home team) |
| • A | FRA Joseph Fourier University | 1 |
| • A | POL Kozminski University | 2 |
| • A | TUR Istanbul Aydin University | 3 |
| • B | GBR University of Stirling | 4 |
| • B | CZE Technical University of Ostrava | 5 |
| • B | ESP Camilo Jose Cela University | 6 |
| • B | SUI University of St. Gallen | 7 |
| • C | NED Erasmus University Rotterdam | 8 |
| • C | GER University of Mainz | 9 |
| • C | SLO University of Ljubljana | 10 |
| • C | POR University of Coimbra | 11 |
| • E | NOR Norwegian University of Science and Technology | 12 |
| • E | AUT University of Innsbruck | not in the ranking |
| • E | CRO Vern University of Applied Sciences | second team |
| • E | POL University School of Physical Education in Wroclaw | second team |

EUG 2016 TENNIS WOMEN - RANKING

| Pools | University teams | EUSA ranking |
|-------------------|--|--|
| • X team) | CRO University of Zagreb | not considered in the draw -> A1 (home |
| • A | FRA University of Bordeaux | 1 |
| • A | GER University of Mainz | 2 |
| • A | NED Erasmus University Rotterdam | 3 |
| • B | POR University of Coimbra | 4 |
| • B | HUN University of Physical Education | 5 |
| • B | GRE National Technical University of Athens | 6 |
| • B | NOR Norwegian University of Science and Technology | 7 |
| • C the group) | SLO University of Ljubljana | 8 (draw for |
| • D | AUT University of Innsbruck | not in the ranking |
| • D | CRO University of Split | second team |
| • D | GBR University of Stirling | not in the ranking |
| • D | POR Nova University of Lisbon | second team |
| • D | SUI University of Geneva | not in the ranking |